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## ABSTRACT

The policy recomendations contained in this report are intended to provide financial guidelines that can be clearl. understood by all concerned parties and allow for decision making at the local level within those guidelines. The reconendations provide institutions with a clear understanding of the state's relationship to financial intercollegiate athletics in the state and an opportunity to make decisions at the local level as to breadth, depth, and, type of progran. The document includes: an introduction; a sumnary of recomendations; a discussion of background information; including: current financial situations--the universities, current financial situation--the state colleges, 1975-77 bienniun requests, University of Mashington, Washington State University, and State Colleges; and a discussion of financial, recruiting, and HE\# Title IX Guidelines information. The document also includes: reccmmendations one to three concerning grants-in-aid; recomendation four concerning plant operation and maintenance; and recommendation five concerning program 010-administration and general expenses and progran $030-s t u d e n t$ services; and recommendation six concerning participation in decision making. Conclusions and appendixes follow. (Author/KE)
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Governor Evans, in his 1975-77 budget request asked the Council on Higher Education to review the financing of intercollegiate athletics at the state's four-year public colleges and universities, to develop statelevel policy guidelines and to report back to him the recommendations issuing from this study. More specifically the Council is requested to address the following questions:
ib: should the state assume increased financial responsibility? Should the spectators or students (through S \& A fees) be required to pay more? Are operating economies possible to a significant extent? What is the importance of Pac-8 football to the survival of WSU in its present form?

The report should also include a thorough chart showing the current and proposed financial structure by cost component (e.g., physical plant, coaches, trainers, travel, etc.) The four-year institutions are to be involved in this issue and the Council's recommendation is to be presented to the Governor early in the 1975 Legislative session.

The Legislature has recognized intercollegiate athletic competition as being part of higher education as stated in RCW 28B. 10.701 Chapter 22, Section 2, Laws of 1971, 1st Extraordinary Session:
... The governing boards of each of the state universities, state colleges and community colleges in addition to their other duties prescribed by law shall have the power and authority to establish programs for intercollegiate athletic competition. Such competition may include participation as a member of an athletic conference or conferences in accordance with conference rules . . .

The policy recommendations contained in this report are intended to provide financing guidelines which can be clearly understood by all concerned parties and allow for decision making at the local level within those guidelines.

After an opportunity to review the preliminary staff report entitled "Financing of Intercollegiate Athletics", and with the subsequent discussion that occurred at its March 4, 5, 1975 Council meeting, the members of the Council on Higher Education modified the initial report by adding recommendation number six.

With the addition of recommendation number six and the insertion of the word "may" in recommendation number three, the Council on Higher Education moved, seconded and passed the following motion:
"That the Council staff report, entitled "Financing of Intercollegiate Athletics", as amended, be approved by the Council on Higher Education and transmitted to the Governor."

The recommendations which follow were based on the following premises:
(1) The financial obligation to the State of Washington in intercollegiate athletics should not be affected by the number of sports, the sex of the participants, or the level of competition the respective institutions choose to pursue. The decision as to the type and scope of intercollegiate athletic programs should be an institutional decision, and will require trade-offs at the local level in terms of the financial resources that local decision-makers feel they can expend.
(2) A more important distinction than that between "revenue" and "nonrevenue" sports is the distinction between those sports where potential student/athletes are recruited using grants-in-aid based on the individual's athletic ability and those sports where financial assistance is provided to the participating students based solely on the individual's demonstrated financial need.
(3) Sports that involve recruiting and offer grants-in-aid based on athletic ability either by themselves or in conjunction with other sports must be self-sustaining in the direct costs as defined in this report.
(4) There is a need to establish an equitable and consistent policy in the Program 040 - Plant Operation and Maintenance area.
(1) That a distinction be drawn between those intercollegiate athletic sports for which participants are recruited and provided grants-inaid based on their athletis ability and those intercollegiate athletic sports in which students participate and receive no such assistance.
(2) That those sports which recruit and provide grants-in-aid based on athletic ability be, either solely or in conjunction with other sports, self-sustaining as to all direct costs.
(3) That coaching support for those intercollegiate athletic sports which do not recruit with grants-in-aid based on athletic ability may be financed from within the faculty staffing formula in Program 060 .. Instruction and Departmental Research.
(4) That all physical plant facilities used for intercollegiate athletics be funded from the regular 040 - Plant Operation and Maintenance formula with the exception of the janitorial element which will not be funded for outdoor stadiums and stadium related concession areas. That all facilities and acreages be recognized as being state-owned and be open and available for a wide range of activities with control assigned/delegated by the boards of trustees or regents of the respective institutions.
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(5) That certain costs of administration may be charged to Program 0i0 Administration and General Expense and to Program 030 - Student Services, but only such administrative costs as can be demonstrated to comprise the appropriate share for those sports which do not recruit with grants-in-aid based on athletic ability, e.g., administrative costs for sports not expected to be self-sustaining.
(6) That the presidents of the colleges and universities assure that adequate opportunity is provided for students to participate in decisions regarding the role of athletic programs at their institutions in the use of student funds which are allocated to these programs.

## BACKGROUND


#### Abstract

The intercollegiate athletic programs currently operating in the State of Washington are of two distinct types -- the programs at the two universities as contrasted to the programs at the three traditional state colleges.


There are severa: administrative and organizational differences between the program of the University of washington and that of Washington State University, however, their overall scope is the same. Both schools are members of the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) and they both compete in the Pacific Eight Athletir Conference.

The programs of the three original state colleges share a common organizational structure. They all belong to the National Association for Intercollegiate $A^{t}$ hletics (NAIA) and all three compete in the Evergreen Conference.

The major differences between the universities and state colleges are as follows: The universities offer athletic scholarships (grants-in-aid) based on athletic ability. (These grants-in-aid totalled over $\$ 1$ million for the two schools during the current year, 1974-75.) The state colleges, on the other hand, award a total of about $\$ 10,000$ per year between them in "special ability awards" that are available to an athlete who can demonstrate financial need based on the results of a confidential need analysis of his or her parents conducted by the College Scholarship Service in Berkeley, California.

Gate receipts and gate guarantees at the universities exceed $\$ 3 \mathrm{mil}$ lion a year, whereas the combined gate receipts for the three state colleges
total less than $\$ 40,000$ for the current year.
The contrasts are many, however, the five four-year institutions that offer intercollegiate athletic programs all have two things in common. First, they are all feeling the strain of increased costs brought on by double-digit inflation and second, they asked for additional state general fund dollars totaling more than $\$ 1.5$ million for their respective intercollegiate athletic programs for the 1975. 17 biennium.

## CURRENT FINANCIAL SITUATION - - THE UNIVERSITIES

Table I provides balance sheets for the two universities and shows their current financial positions. The University of Washington (U of W) estimates that its expenditures will exceed revenues by over $\$ 400,000$ this fiscal year (1974-75). (The $U$ of $W$ recently announced it will discontinue grants-in-aid support based on athletic ability in all sports other than basketball and football. First year savings are expected to be approximately $\$ 75,000$.) The University of Washington also plans reductions in all travel and will rely more on buses for team travel starting this year.

Specific economies and cos. savings at the University of Washington that are already in effect or contemplated in order to minimize the fiscal year 1974-75 deficit are shown in Anpendix $A$.

The University of Washington has traditionally avoided the use of state budget programs for support of intercollegiate athletics. No coaches are funded from Instruction and Departmental Research and administrative personnel are all paid from the sports program budget. The only use of state funds is the $\$ 252.357$ currently expended (1973-75 biennium) for a portion of the physical plant costs related to intercollegiate athletics.
TABLE I
UNIVERSITIES
Balance Sheets
Intercollegiate Athletics
University of Washington

tment of Sports Programs Revised Budget Document.
Washington State University

$$
\begin{gathered}
\begin{array}{c}
1974-75 \\
\text { Estimated }
\end{array} \\
\$ 2,597,785 \\
3,004,512
\end{gathered}
$$



$\$ 1,050,229 \quad \$ 578,703$
Source: Fiscal years 1970-71 through 1973-74 -- Annual audit reports for intercollegiate athletics of the Department of Sports Programs by Price-Waterhouse as presented to and accepted by the Board of Regents of the University of Washington. Fiscal year 1974-75 -- Depar

## ce:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1970-71 & 1971-72 \\
\text { Actual } & \text { Actual } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\section*{| 1972-73 |
| :--- |
| Actual |}


مo
$(90,565)$
$(\$ 327,663)$
$(\$ 90,565) \quad(\$ 327,663$ held in General Reserve which is shown as an expense.
\#Doesn't include an estimated $\$ 50,000$ in interest expense for the current fiscal year. Does include $\$ 12,097$
Source: Fiscal years 1970-71 through 1973-74-- Annual audit reports by Howard 8. Schoeff for the Depart-
ment of Intercollegiate Athletics of the Associated Students of Washington State University as presented to and accepted by Washington State University.
Fiscal year 1974-75 -- Department of Intercollegiate Athletics Budget Document.

At the sanie time, increased costs and program expansion, particularly in the area of women's sports, has put an extreme strain on essentially Stable revenues. Almost. one-half ( $\$ 180,000$ ) of the University of Washington sports orogram department budget deficit is due to an expansion of opportunities for women which is being funded entirely out of the sports program desartment budget.

Washington State University (WSU) shows a balanced budget for the current year (bottom half of Table I) if one excludes the estimated interest expense of 550,000 . Table IV on page 22 shows an estimated expenditure of $\$ 99,480$ for grants-in-aid in those sports other than basketball and football. This is $\$ 6,643$ less than the $\$ 106,123$ expended on grants-inaid in these sports during 1973-74. In addition, Washington State University has made eccnomies in team travel, recruiting and sports medicine to bring expenditures in line with revenues. Also, two years ago the athletic department dropped swimming as an intercollegiate sport, this accounted for a savings of almost $\$ 20,000$ for fiscal year 1973-74.

In addition to the expenditures shown on Table I, Washington State University has charged a variety of costs to state budget programs. All of the physical facilities used for intercollegiate athletics are under the Plant Operation and Maintenance program. The athletic director is funded under Adniinistration and General Expense. Women's sports programs are funded from Program 060 - Instruction and Departmental Research. Men's intercollegiate tennis, golf, gymnastics and wrestling are also funded from Program 060. Total added costs related to intercollegiate athletics from state sources amount to $\$ 440,143$ for the 1973-75 biennium.

A :iajor problem at the presert time is the debt that the intercollegiate athletic department has accumulated in recent years. To the greatest
degree possible, Washington State University's non-federal funds from their General Ledger Cash Balances are combined in a single bank account and are invested. Interest income earned from the invested cash balances is credited to each of the applicable funds based on their percentage proportion to the total cash balance. The deficit (debt) in intercollegiate athletics at Washington State University appears as a cash overdraft on the University's General Ledger. Since the intercollegiate athletic account is negative, the negative cash balance results in a charge based on percentage relationship of the overdraft to the total cash balance (whereas a positive cash balance results in a credit). The intercollegiate athletic deficit (debt) is therefore being charged interest on a daily basis based on the going market rate for the funds the University is able to invest on a short-term basis.

According to the annual audit reports conducted by Howard Schoeff, C.P.A., the interest expense for $1973-74$ was $\$ 43,601.08$. The estimated budget for 1974-75 illustrated in Table I does not include th. 3 interest expense for the current year. Estimates are that the interest will total close to $\$ 50,000$.

The administration at Washington State University should do everything legally possible to alleviate this debt or at least the interest charges, thus providing the means to ensure that the athletic program at WSU can begin to achieve a stable operating posture and be in congruence with the policy recommendations set forth in this proposal.

## CURRENT FINANCIAL SITUATION -- THE STATE COLLEGES

The majority of the expenses related to intercollegiate athletics at the state colleges are supported from state funds. Coaching personnel are
funded from the faculty staffing formula within Program 060. Supplies are often used for intramural, recreational, physical education and intercollegiate athletics. All facilities used are covered by the Plant Operation and Maintenance formula (See Table II).

TABLE II
ESTIMATED DOLLAR VALUES
FOR THE INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETIC PROGRAMS
AT THE THREE OLDER STATE COLLEGES
1973-75 BIENNIUM

| Program |  | Institutions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CWSC | EWSC | WWSC |
| 010 | Administration and General Expense | \$ 13,033 | \$ 1,722 | \$11,202 |
| 030 | Student Services | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 040 | Plant Operation and Maintenance | 26,979 | 14,253 | 14,274 |
| 060 | Instruction and Departmental Research | 154,606 | 226,808 | 43,339 |
|  | TOTALS | \$194,618 | \$242,783 | \$68,815 |

The state colleges generate very little income from gate receipts. The bulk of their operating revenues come from Services and Activities Fees. (See Table III.) As illustrated in Table III, both Central and Eastern have gone to a charge per student for home football, basketball, and wrestling events to supplement their Services and Activities Fees rev-. enue in order to provide sufficient revenue to pay ever-increasing operating expenses.

Table III shows the trend in funding the operating expenses in the women's intercollegiate athletic programs for the last $f$ dr years. If current trends continue, the women's programs will continue to get an increased share of the Services and Activities Fees revenue to cover their
TABLE III
STATE COLLEGES
Services and Activities Fees Allocated To and Revenues Generated By Intercollegiate Athletic Programs

| CWSC |  | EWSC |  | WWSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services and | Other* | Services and | Other* | Services and | Other* |
| Activities Fees | Revenues | Activities Fees | Revenues | Activities Fees | Revenues |
| \$70,558 | \$ 6,756 | \$89,720 | \$ 6,719 | \$70,667 | \$ 9,305 |
| 8,270 | \$ 6.75 | 6,974 | --- | 18,558 | - -- |
| 78,828 | 6,756 | 96,694 | 6,719 | 89,225 | 9,305 |
| 66,559 | 10,143 | 68,036 | 5,697 | 76,974 | 6,748 |
| 7,287 | - | 8,743 | -- | 10,345 | -- |
| 73,846 | 10,143 | 76,779 | 5,697 | 87,319 | 6,748 |
| 57,364 | 24,196 | 71,200 | 11,344 | 71,593 | 4,403 |
| 10,900 | -- | 8,200 | - 11.3 | 12,290 | -- |
| 68,264 | 24,796 | 79,400 | 11,344 | 83,883 | 4,403 |
| 60,000 | 24,000 | 70,531 | 12,000 | 70,185 | ; 5,000 |
| 16,000 | , | 24,300 | --- | 23,063 | - |
| \$76,000 | \$24,000 | \$94,831 | \$12,000 | \$93,248 | \$5,000 |

*Other Revenues are comprised mostly of gate receipts. Other Revenues for 1974-75 are estimates.

Eastern has also made a policy decision to charge their students. Eastern has initiated a charge of $\$ .50$ per student for football, basketball and wrestling.
$\frac{\text { Fiscal Year }}{1971-72}$ Men
Women Total
1972-73 Men Women $\square \angle-\varepsilon \angle 6 \mathrm{~L}$ uวW
$\square<-\varepsilon$ Women Women Total
$1974-75$ Budgeted
Women
Total
17
operating expenses. In the current year, the commitment to the women's programs is up from 157 percent to 296 percent over the previous year's Services and Activities Fees expenditures.

## 1975-77 BIENNIUM REQUESTS

The institutions asked for additional state general fund dollars totaling more than $\$ 1.5$ million for their respective intercollegiate athletic programs for the upcoming biennium. The total requests are as follows:

> University of Washington Washīngton State University Central Washington State College Eastern Washington State College Western Washington State College $\quad$ Total
\$ 570,536
322,098
182,004*
269,894
199,334
$\$ 1,543,866$
*See the specific section on CWSC following.

## University of Washington

The request for state general fund dollars for the University of Washington totals $\$ 570,536$. The entire request is in Program 040-Plant Operation and Maintenance.

Washington State University
The request for state general fund dollars for Washington State University involves three programs. The programs are: 010 - Administration and General Expense, 030 - Student Services, and 060 - Instruction and Departmental Research.

The request for state general fund dollars in Program 010 - Administration and General Expense is to assume the balance of administrative personnel costs now being paid by the intercollegiate athletic budget. (Currently
the salary and fringe benefit costs ( $\$ 67,106$ for the biennium) for the intercollegiate athletic director are paid by the University from the Program 010 budget.) The request is for state funding for the following administrative personnel: the Associate Athletic Director, an Assistant Athletic Director, the Athletic Business Manager, the Athletic News Manager and two support staff. (The total request for 1975-77, with fringe benefits, is $\$ 186,003$.)

The request for state general fund dollars in Program 030 - Student Services is to fund two Physical Therapists twenty-five percent time each out of the Student Health budget and the Athletic Academic Advisor twenty percent time out of the Student Services budget. (Currently the salaries and fringe benefits for the two Physical Therapists and an Academic Advisor (one of the assistant football coaches) are being paid entirely from the intercollegiate athletic budget. (The total request for 1975-77 with fringe benefits is $\$ 20,666$. )

The request for state general fund dollars in Program 063 .. Instruction and Departmental Research, is for $\$ 115,429$ over and above the faculty staffing formula to fund the women's intercollegiate athletic program for the next biennium.

The dollar total involved in Washington State's request is $\$ 322,098$.

## State Colleges

The requests of the three state colleges participating in intercollegiate athletics are to move coaching from the Instruction and Departmental Research program (060) to Organized Activities Related to Instruction (070). The effect of this change would be to enable the institutions to hire new
faculty (within the faculty staffing formula) while providing state general fund dollars to fund the coaching activity in Program 070.

## Central Washington State College

Central did not inciude a separate proposal for intercollegiate athletics in their 1975-77 biennial budget request. However, Central had made a proposal to the Office of Program Planning and Fiscal Management during the preceding biennium which would have moved their coaches' salaries into Program 070 - Organized Activities Related to Instruction. This request was not approved, however. For purposes of this study, Central was asked to provide Council staff with the impact of the change had it been approved. Central's request would have been $\$ 182,004$ for coaches' salaries and benefits, and would have been used to provide coaches for both the men's and women's intercollegiate athletic programs. (The number of FTE coaches involved per year is 5.3.)

## Eastern Washington State College

Eastern's request was to provide for the funding of intercollegiate athletics in'Program 070 - Organized Activities Related to Instruction. The total request for the biennium was $\$ 269,894$. (This total includes both salaries and benefits, as well as a request for $\$ 19,800$ for support to the coaches.) Eastern's request was based on the assumption that the request would provide the resources to support both men's as well as a women's intercollegiate athletic program. (The number of FTE coaches involved per year is 7.5.)

Western Washington State College
Western's request also was to provide for the funding of intercolle-
giate athletics in Program 070 - Organized Activities Related to Instruction. The total request was for $\$ 199,334$ including benefits, for the biennium. Western's request was also based on the assumption that the request would provide the resources to support both a men's as well as a women's intercollegiate athletic program. (Western's request was based on six FTE coaches per year.)

## GENERAL

The intercollegiate athletic problems currently facing the institutions in the State of Washington are not unique to this state but are being shared by almost everyone who has anything to do with intercollegiate athletics.

## ت̈nancial

irank Broyles, Arkansas athletic director and football coach: "We're cutting down on paper, stamps, anywhere an expenditure can be reduced without hurting our program."

Joe Paterno, head football coach at Penn State, on single platoon football: "That's the only way to drastically cut expenses."

The University of Washington has announced plans to: "Strip all sports other than football and basketball of grants-in-aid beginning with the 1975-76 season."

Carl Maddox, athletic director at Louisiana State University, is considering action similar to the action taken recently by the University of Washington. Maddox and his staff are: ". . . exploring the possibility of reducing the number of scholarships in non-revenue sports."

In the Pacific Eight Conference, Oregon State University is already
phasing out all grants-in-aid in swimming, golf and tennis. (Baseball is a question mark right now.)

The University of Vermont has discontinued its football program. The University of Tampa in Florida recently announced that the 1975 season would be their last in football.

In an Associated Press (AP) article which appeared in newspapers around the country in early January, and dealt with the financial crunch in intercollegiate athletics, Frank Brown made the following statements based on an AP survey: "Overnight trips have been cut drastically, and travel is by bus instead of airplane. In addition, teams are taking fewer players on the road, hiring fewer coaches, giving fewer scholarships, and eliminating varsity designation for sports that don't produce revenue."

Walter Byers, executive director of NCAA, stated recently: "There has never been more agreement on the necessity to cut costs."

## Recruiting

Clint Richardson, a heavily recruited basketball player and senior at O'Dea High School in Seattle, recounted in the local newspapers recently some of the recruiting "pressures" he was subjected to concerning cars and money: "We'll get you some wheels so you won't have to walk anymore." "We'll take care of you -- you'll get more than you're supposed to get (under a scholarship)."

In their annual meeting held in early January, the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) and some 800 delegates focused on several issues. Ccncerning the recruiting issue, some of the proposais introduced
were: (1) To prohibit a college from contacting a student/athlete off-- campus until after his junior year in high school; (2) To ban arrangements for summer jobs before enrollment in college; (3) To prohibit arrangements for loans to athletes; (4) To restrict schools to a maximum of three inperson off-campus contacts with an athlete during his high school years; and (5) To ban all grant-in-aid scholarships based on athletic ability. The NCAA is also proposing to boost its recruiting enforcement staff to eleven, up from four.

NOTE: For the readers information, numbers one and three passed; numbers two and four did not pass; and number five did not reach the convention floor for a vote. Also the proposal to boost the size of the recruiting enforcement staff was approved.

## HEW Title IX Guidelines

(The proposed Department of Health, Education and Welfare regulation would require equal funding for men's and women's sports programs.)

Jess Hill, Commissioner of the Pacific Coast Athletic Conference:
"I'm all for women's athletic programs, but the problem is how to finance them. If you reduce men's athletics to take care of women's programs, I can foresee critical problems for the schools."

Joe Kearny, direct of sports programs at the University of Washington: "Women's sports just happened to 'arrive' at a bad time economically."

One of the basic premises underlying these recommendations is that the financing policies distinguish between those sports which actively recruit using grants-in-aid based on athletic ability and those sports where financial assistance is provided to the participating students based solely on the individual's demonstrated need as determined by a confidential need analysis of his or her parents conducted by the College Scholarship Service in Berkeley, California.

Grants-in-aid are defined in this report as being financial support provided to a potential student/athlete to attend a college or university because of athletic ability, not on the basis of scholarship and not because of financial need.

There is a significant distinction between sports that revolve around recruiting and offer grants-in-aid based on athletic ability and sports that involve students where either no assistance is provided or where financial assistance is provided based on the athlete's demonstrated financial need. One of the major distinctions is the intensity of the recruiting effort associated with the use of athletic ability grants-in-aid.

Recruiting can entail a nation-wide search for talent. In some sports it means recruiting world-wide. For instance, skiers from Norway, soccer players from England, hockey players from Canada, gymnasts from Japan and tracksters from Africa just to name a few.

George Hanford in a report commissioned by the American Council on Education entitled "An Inquiry Into The Need For and Feasibility of $A$

National Study of Intercollegiate Athletics" made the following observations:

> the Une of the frustrations for any student of sports in thates today is that of finding the line of demarcation between amateurism and professionalism. Another is that of deciding whether the distinction makes any difference anyway. Consider some of these anomalies. For purposes of intercollegiate competition, a professional in one sport was until last January considered a professional in all sports. At that time the rules were changed and now a professional in one sport is an amateur in all others, at least in college competition. It is unlikely, however, that he or she will be allowed to participate in international events. For years it was impossible for amateurs to compete with professionals in tennis but acceptable in golf. Now it is possible in both. An amateur is someone who presumably doesn't get paid for playing; yet what else but a payment for services rendered is a grant-in-aid awarded without reference to need?. . . . .

Mr. Hanford goes on to point out that ". . . the definition of amateurism must be couched in degrees of nonprofestionalism . . . ."

The great majority of recent books and articles on the subject of intercollegiate athletics have stressed the basic distinction between the highly competitive atmosphere of heavy recruiting sports and those sports made available to those college students enrolling for other reasons. Another possible distinction would be revenue versus non-revenue sports. All events, however, have the potential for raising some revenue depending on the locality and the interest in the event. Along these lines; all institutions should be cognizant of potential revenues that can be generated from the various sports.

A more important distinction than that between "revenue" and "nonrevenue" sports is the distinction between those sports where potential student/athletes are recruited using grants-in-aid based on the individual's athletic ability and those sports where financial assistance is
provided to the participating students based solely on the individual's demonstrated financial need.

The first recommendation of this report is:
That a distinction be drawn between those intercollegiate athletic sports in which participants are recruited and provided grants-in-aid based on their athletic ability and those intercollegiate athletic sports in which students participate and receive no such assistance.

The two universities currently offer grants-in-aid based on athletic ability; whereas, the three traditional state colleges offer a limited number of athletic ability awards to athletes who show a demonstrated financial need.

The grants-in-aid in the Pacific Eight Conference include only tuition and fees and room and board. A full NCAA grant-in-aid can also include laundry and some spending money. The average grant-in-aid cost per athlete at the University of Washington for the current year (1974-75) is \$2,307. The comparable average at Washington State University is $\$ 2.354$. The cumulative grant-in-aid to an individual (on the average) would, therefore, total between $\$ 9,000$ and $\$ 10,000$ for four years of college.

Table IV shows the dollars budgesed by sport for the current fiscai year (1974-75). The grants-in-aid expense for the University of Washington $(\$ 656,600)$ makes up 21.9 percent of projected expenditures of $\$ 3,004,512$ for 1974-75. (It should be roted that revenue projections for 1974-75 are $\$ 2,597,785$ for an estimated jeficit of $\$ 406,727$.) The grants-in-aid expense for Washington State Jniversity $(\$ 395,801)$ makes up 23.6 percent of projected expenditures of $\mathbf{j 1}, 675,477$ for 1974-75. (Washington State University has assumed a balanced budget for 1974-75 with revenues equaling expenditures with $\$ 12,097$ held in General Reserve and shown as an expense.)

Table IV also points out that Washington State University is actually expending $\$ 6,695$ more than the University of Washington for grants-in-aid in basketball and football. (The University of Washington is spending $\$ 5,395$ dollars more than Washington State University in football and $\$ 12,090$ less in basketball.)

TABLE IV
GRANTS-IN-AID DOLLAR AMOUNTS BY SPORT

Type
Sport
Revenue
Basketball
Footbal?
Sub-Total
Non Revenue*
Baseball
Golf
Gymnastics
Skiing
Soccer
Swimming
Tennis
Track
Wrestling
Sub-Total
Miscellaneous *

TOTAL

University

- Of Washington
$\$ 39,756$
249,870
$\$ 289,626$
\$ 25,761*
11,217*
29,160*
2,877*
8,736*
50,985*
13,758*
77,031*
40,431 *
\$259,956 *
$\$ 107,018$
$\$ 656,600$

Washington
State University

- \$51,846

244,475
$\$ 296,32^{\top}$
\$29,000
4,100
6,500
-0-
-0-
-0-
6,230
39,500
14,150
$\$ 99,480$

$$
\$ \quad-0-
$$

\$395,801
*The University of Washington has decided to suspend all grants-in-aid based on athletic ability in those non-revenue sports shown above.
**The "Miscellaneous" total of $\$ 107,018$ for the University of Washington includes $\$ 26,500$ for summer session tuition and fees and room and board; $\$ 1,149$ for incidental fees; $\$ 28,777$ for graduate assistant coaches; $\$ 13,368$ in grants-in-aid to permanently injured student athletes; $\$ 13,824$ in room and board payments to student managers in other various sports; and $\$ 23,400$ for post-eligible players.

The state colleges, by contrast, are providing approximately $\$ 9,500$ in athletic ability awards based on demonstrated need during the current fiscal year as shown in Table $V$.

TABLE V
STATE COLLEGES' NEED BASED ATHLETIC
ABILITY AWARDS
Institution
Approximate Amount
Central Washington State College
\$6,100
Eastern Washington State College \$1,400 Western Washington State College \$2,000 Total

Table VI shows the grants-in-aid expenditures by the two universities for fiscal years 1972, 1973 and 1974 (actual) and budgeted for 1975.

TABLE VI
GRANTS-IN-AID EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR

Fiscal Year
1972 (Actual)
1973 (Âctual)
1974 (Actual)
1975 (Budgeted)

University
Of Washington
\$491,137
\$546,014
\$600,161
\$656,600

Washington State University
\$359,845
\$383,072
\$381,056
$\$ 395,801$

Recommendation number two deals with the financial responsibilities for the two types of sports, i.e. grants-in-aid as opposed to non-grants-in-aid sports. The recommendation is:

That those sports which recruit and provide grants-in-aid based on athletic ability be, either by themselves or in conjunction with other sports, selfsustaining as to all direct costs.

Grant-in-aid sports are more entertainment-oriented and the pressures to produce "a winner" are more intense than with the non-grant-in-aid sports. This phenomenon in the grants-in-aid sport of football makes the month of February a critical time of the year for intercollegiate athletic programs around the country. (February is the earliest that the NCAA will allow colleges and universities to "sign" high school football players to national letters of intent in football.) This "Letter of Intent" is a binding agreement between player and school, and pretty much assures the institution that this high school athlete will enroll for the fall term to begin four years of playing (performing) on their football team.

The legitimacy of this activity, i.e., engaging in recruiting using grants-in-aid is not in question. However, it is an activity in which the state should not have a financial commitment. On the other hand, grant-in-aid sports are a legitimate option to an institution if the institution can sustain the revenues needed to finance the direct costs as defined below.

Direct-costs would include wages, salaries and retirement and benefits for administrative personnel, coaches, information staff, fund raising and the related support staff. Also included under direct costs are those costs incurred while preparing the facility or area for an event or contest (set-up) and the subsequent clean-up afterwards. Other direct costs would include contractual personal services, goods and services, travel, equipment and any miscellaneous expenses that are directly related to those sports which recruit with grants-in-aid based on athletic ability.

Indirect cost areas would include those areas where the functions related to the intercollepiate athletic department are only one part of the
on-going college or university programs. Examples would be routine handling of payrolls, voucher expenditures, etc.; student services support, such as financial aid counseling, use of the student health service and student counseling center, etc.; and all plant operation and maintenance support, other than the direct expenses of an event, such as set-up and clean-up expense.

The third recommendation affirms current practices now being followed by the three traditional state colleges and extends this rationale to all institutions in the case of non-grant-in-aid sports. The recommendation is:

That coaching support for those intercollegiate athletic sports which do not recruit with grants-in-aid based on athletic ability be financed from within the faculty staffing formula in Program 060 - Instruction and Departmental Research.

This recommendation allows each institution to establish its own priorities in the activities area such as is now the case in the state colleges. For non-grant-iri-aid sports, as well as recreation, intramurals and non-sport activities, the internal priorities would dictate the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) faculty from the faculty formula area which could be used for these purposes.

Currently in Program 060 - Instruction and Departmental Research at the state colleges, all faculty FTE are generated by the 060 formula. The FTEs provided to intercollegiate athletics are faculty FTEs that belong to the entire college. Each of the state colleges establishes its own priorities, and makes individual determinations as to how many FTE faculty it is going to "set aside" for such areas as intercollegiate athletics, forensics, music, theatre, etc. and academic administration; i.e., all those "areas"
that are functions of the college, but don't generate FTE faculty in the 060 formula.

If the two universities choose to eliminate grants-in-aid assistance based on athletic abiiity in certain sports, then the respective universities would have the option of doing what the state colleges have done for years, and this is to prioritize their available resources in such a manner that would allow for the funding of coaches' salaries from within the faculty staffing formula for those sports the institution chooses not to recruit with grants-in-aid based on athletic ability. As a matter of fact, Washington State University is already doing this with their women's intercollegiate athletic program.

If the University of Washington were to make the decision not to offer grants-in-aid in several sports areas, it would be possible for them to fund all or a majority of the coaching effort for these non-grant-in-aid sports out of the 060 budget. This would also be true of their women's intercollegiate programs. For women's intercollegiate athletics, this option should be given strong consideration by the University of Washington, especially when one takes into consideration the potential impact of the HEW Title IX guidelines, plus the fact that the University of Washington's women's programs do not now have grants-in-aid and the women's programs at all five institutions compete in the same league.

The respective requests (see page 13) at the three older state colleges are not consistent with Council recommendations. As a matter of fact, the first three recomendations which deal with possible institutional support as this support relates to grants-in-aid versus non-grants-in-aid follows the current practices at the three older state colleges. Council staff
feels it is highly desirable for the three older state colleges to maintain the strong interrelationship that now exists between the academic departments and their respective intercollegiate athletic programs. In addition, the decision as to the appropriate number of coaches is retained at the local level and not presented to the Governor and the legislature as special request items in a separate budget program. (Appendix B provides the institutional philosophies for each of the state colleges, including Evergreen. These "philosophies" relate to intercollegiate athletics, physical education and the institution as a whole.)

## PLANT OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

One of the premises underlying these recommendations is that there is a need to establish an equitable and consistent policy in the Program 040 Plant Operation and Maintenance area.

The budgets for the Plant Operation and Maintenance - 040 Programs at all five of the four-year institutions are determined through the use of a formula. The Plant Operation and Maintenance formula has several components that determine the level of funding needed for a particular institution. The formula generates dollars in four major areas:
(1) Building Maintenance
(2) Utilities and Utilities Maintenance
(3) Janitorial Services
(4) Grounds Maintenance

The analysis of Program 040 - Plant Operation and Maintenance and the facilities and acreages used by intercollegiate athletics provides two important findings. These are (l) that all the facilities and acreages involved belong to the State of Washington and (2) virtually no money would be sãved if the state were to eliminate all the intercollegiate athletic programs in the state. In reference to the second point, the facilities and acreages involved are extensively used for intramurals, physical education, general recreation and club sports and these facilities will continue to be heated, swept and maintained with or without intercollegiate athletics.

The request at the University of Washington is to correct inconsistencies, as well as imbalances that exist both within the University of

Washington and with the other four four-year institutions that have intercollegiate athletics.

Within the University, the utilities (fixed) costs are currently being paid by the overall physical plant budget. In addition, the building maintenance for Edmundson Pavilion and the Pavilion Annex is presently funded under the formula. However, the janitorial element of the formula for these two facilities is now funded under the Program 040 formula. The "inconsistencies" are many. The Pavilion Annex is currently used by the music department and this facility no longer has a direct relationship to the intercollegiate athletic program. (The Annex provided necessary office space before the construction and subsequent occupancy of the Graves Building.) Further, three facilities that are closely related to intercollegiate athletics, i.e., the Pavilion Swimming Pool, Graves Building (an office complex) and the Crew Shellhouse are now under the Program 040 formula. On the other hand, Husky Stadium, the stadium concession areas and various playing fields are "outside" the formula with maintenance and janitorial services paid by the sports program department.

The basic inconsistency that exists between the University of Washington and the other four-year institutions is that the facilities and acreages which are used for activities other than intercollegiate athletics are not now under the formula at the University of Washington while they are at the other schools.

Based on these findings and determinations, it is recommended:
That all physical plant facilities used for intercollegiate athletics be funded from the regular 040 - Plant Operation and Maintenance formula with
the exception of the janitorial element which will not be funded for outdoor stadiums and stadium related concession areas. That all facilities and acreages be recognized as being state-owned and be open and available for a wide range of activities with control assigned/delegated by the boards of trustees or regents of the respective institutions.

In reviewing the request for $\$ 570,536$, analys is indicated that revised calculations were necessary (See Table VIII). This produced a revised requirement of $\$ 462,880$ which would be generated at 60 percent of formula for the facilities and acreages involved.

TABLE VIII
COMPARISON OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON'S ORIGINAL REQUEST WITH THE REFINED CALCULATIONS

|  | Original <br> Request |  | Refined <br> Calculations |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Building Maintenance | $\$ 146,850$ |  | $\$ 161,776$ |
| Grounds Maintenance | 72,126 |  | 28,198 |
| Janitorial | 253,442 |  | 245,260 |
| Utilities Maintenance | 18,332 |  | 6,406 |
| Non-Formula | 79,786 |  | 21,240 |
|  | $\$ 570,536$ | $\$ 462,880$ |  |

Reasons for Refinerient:
Building Maintenance - There was a slight increase $(\$ 80,829)$ in the replacement cost of the stadium. In addition, both the replacement cost of the related concession areas and the astro-turf were included in the refined calculations. (A Building Maintenance factor of . 0110 was used.)

Replacement Cost
Stadium Only
As tro-Turf
Concession Areas
Total Replacement Cost

| $1975-76$ | $1976-77$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 10,823,283$ | $\$ 11,507,424$ |
| 584,062 | 623,794 |
| $\$ 472,650$ | 500,250 |
| $\$ 11,879,995$ | $\$ 12,631,468$ |

Grounds Maintenance - Original request was based on what the intercollegiate athletic grounds' crew is currently funded at rather than what the formula would generate.

Janitorial, Utilities Maintenance and the Non-Formula areas - In the recalculation of the various formula elements, it was discovered that portions of the parameters that "drive" these elements had already been included in the formula prior to the 1975-77 biennium request.

The University of Washington proposal included the janitorial element of Program 040 formula for Edmundson Pavilion and the Pavilion Annex. In addition, the University of Washington requested that all elements of the Program 040 formula be applied to Husky Stadium and Stadium Concession Building and that under the grounds maintenance element of the formula, the acreages that are currently outside the Program 040 formula be "brought under" the formula. (See Appendix $C$ for detailed tables.)

In analyzing the request, it was determined that $\$ 89,258$ had been requested for janitorial services for the stadiura and concession areas. This element is not included in other institutional requests. In addition, the basis of this formula element is custodial services for classroom and office facilities used, for the most part, on a year-around basis. Further, the recommendation presumes that necessary set-up and clean-up costs will be an appropriate charge to self-sustaining sports. The staff therefore recommends that $\$ 373,622$ be added to the 1975-77 appropriation for the University of Washington to implement recommendation four.
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# PROGRAM 010 - ADMINISTRATION AND GENERAL EXPENSE <br> PROGRAM 030 - STUDENT SERVICES 

The fifth recommendation of this report is:
That certain costs of administration may be charged to Program 010-Administration and General Expense and to Program 030 - Student Services, but only such administrative costs as can be demonstrated to comprise the appropriate share for those sports which do not recruit with grants-in-aid based on athletic ability (i.e., administrative costs for sports not expected to be self-sustaining).

The thrust of this recommendation, as in the case of Recommendation Three, is to allow institutions to make basic "trade-off" decisions among competing needs and priorities at the local level consistent with the financing policy framework outlined in this report.

This recommendation recognizes that some institutions may have intercollegiate sports that involve individuals receiving grants-in-aid based on athletic ability as weli as sports that involve individuals who participate and receive no such assistance. For instance, that portion of the athletir director's salary and related employee benefits that would go to administering the non-grants-in-aid sports could be funded from Program 010 - Administration and General Expense. Another example of where personnel and goods and services expenditures might be split would be in the news bureau office which could include a portion of a position responsible for sports information.

In addition, in Program 030 - Student Services, there could be institutional support within the formula for intercollegiate athletic sports that don't offer grants-in-aid based on athletic ability as well support for the recreational, intramural and club sport areas.

The report is based on the underlying theme which presumes local autonomy. Any decisions will require trade-offs at the local level in terms of the financial resources that can be made available for the respective institution's athletic programs. Recommendation number six deals with the opportunity for student participation in those intercollegiate athleticrelated decisions that affect that portion of the available financial resources that are expected to be forthcoming from the students. The recommendation is:

That the presidents of the colleges and universities assure that adequate opportunity is provided for students to participate in decisions regarding the role of athletic programs at their institutions in the use of student funds which are allocated to these programs.

During the campus visits and subsequent interviews with athleticrelated personnel, college and university administrators, faculty and students, an expressed concern centered around the role and input the various members of the campus community had or might have in those decisions involving the financing of intercollegiate athletics.

This concern was reiterated as the Council staff's draft report entitled, "The Financing of Intercollegiate Athletics", was reviewed by members of the Council on Higher Education and members of the faculty and student advisory committees. Although the concern was genuine, Council staff, advisory committee members, and Council members themselves felt the overall situation was generally good.

However, given the mixed system of financing and the need for a broadly based financial structure including services and activities fees, budget
program support, gate receipts, gate guarantees and private contributions, the need to assure opportunities for participation in the decision-making process regarding the student-related portions of the funding structure led to the adoption of recommendation number six as stated above.

These recommendations do not "solve" the financing problems. They do provide institutions with a clear understanding of the state's relationship to financing intercollegiate athletics in this state and an opportunity to make decisions at the local level as to breadth, depth and type of program.

In order to "solve" the issue at the state level, either the state would need to make substantial appropriations and the associated long-range commitment for support and involvement or to eliminate programs altogether. These recommendations urge that the state not assume this relationship, but to leave the matter as one of local control in line with local priorities.

This report cannot address the problems at the national level; although efforts should continue to produce economies at the state, regional and national level.

## APPENDIX A

University of Washington Cost Saving Measures
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## University of Washington Cost Reductions

(1) Reduction in staff. The oosition of Assistant Athletic Director for Administrative Services will nct be continued when the incumbent retires at the end of calendar year 1974. His duties will be assumed by the Associate Director for Men's Intercollegiate Athletics. Other reductions include the elimination of two Equipment Room Technicians, one Sports Information Office position, the stadium/pavilion security patrol, the stadium painting crew, and a reduction in athlete vacation employment. The total savings including related benefits is projected at $\$ 61,900$.
(2) Reduction of $\$ 55,400$ in department recruiting expenses and administrative travel. Significant reductions include $\$ 17,000$ from the Directer's office, $\$ 5,000$ from the Sports Information Office, $\$ 6,800$ from basketball and \$15,000 from football.
(3) Reduction of $\$ 78,150$ from team travel. This includes cancellation of all non-conference spring vacation trips to California for baseball, golf, gymnastics, soccer, tennis and track. A savings of $\$ 30,000$ has been realized by elimination of two charter flights to football games at Oregon State and Washington State and a saie of surplus airplane seats on the Texas trip. A non-conference tour to the midwest for the wrestling team has been cancelled and the crew's trip to Harvard has been eliminated.
(4) The budget for sports equipment and supplies has been reduced by $\$ 32,700$. Major savings were effected by the elimination of the provision of off-season practice equipment and training aids furnished to athletes.
(5) All team banquets have been deleted except for football and basketball.
(6) Football and basketball training taible budgets have been cut by $\$ 4,800$.
(7) The band budget was reduced by $\$ 20,900$, of which $\$ 13,200$ was designated for away game travel. However, the band will travel to the traditional game with Washington State University.
(8) Intercollegiate support of the Sports Medicine program has been reduced by $\$ 70,000$ through the transfer of the program to the School of Medicine. A potential savings of an additional $\$ 150,000$ may be possible in future years by shifting the entire cost to the School of Medicine.
(9) Other miscellaneous reductions include elimination of participation in non-NCAA championships (individual and team travel to National, AAU, Pan American and Olympic trials), and elimination of complimentary tickets to department staff for home athletic events.

NOTE: The above cost savings measures were instituted after the developn:ent of the initial Sports Program Department budget for 1974-75 showed expenses exceeding revenues by approximately $\$ 775,000$. These cost saving measures for the current fiscal year are reflected in the revised budget deficit which is estimated to be \$406,727 for 1974-75.

## APPENDIX B

## State College

"Philosophy" Statements
and
Policy Statements
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TO: Council on Hishe: Education
FROM: Athletic Depa:-ment
RE: Philosophical Statement of the Athletic Program

The Intercollegiate Athletic Program at Central Washington State College is an integral part of the total educational program, subject to the same aims, policies, and objectives as other departments on campus.

The total intercollegiate program must, therefore, be the full responsibility of tiee president and his administrative staff who must be in full contrcl of all policies and procedures which govern the athletic program. We believe that intercollegiate athletics fully justify being a full member of the educational family of our institution and, properly administered, provide beneits to the athlete and the institution worthy of institutional acceptance and financial support.

The athletic program offers the participants valuable educational experiences not provided in other phases of the educational program. The program provides valuable laboratory-learning experiences for students preparing for professional careers in recreation, coaching, and the teaching of physical education. Central Washington State College is affiliated with the Evergreen Conference, the NAIA, NCAA, and AIAW-NCWSA, and abides by their rules, regulations, and policies.

In light of the above statements, Central Washington State College has maintained the athletic progran as an integral part of the Department of Health, Physical Education, and Leisure Studies.

Our student athletes receive college credit for their varsity sports in the same manner as do otiner students who enroll in physical education activity classes. All soaches hare faculty rank and are full time instructors in the Physial Education Department.

Since our program has educational and cultural value and since it is administered like any other departments within the college，we feel it should receive state funding．Central could use funding in the following areas．

1．Move coaches from 06 to 07 so that the college is not penalized because their particular assignment does not produce the required student credit hours．

2．Construction and maintenance of facilities and equip－ ment and other physical plant charges．

3．Financial aid for the Women＇s Athletic Programs due to the rapid expansion of their programs and to meet the obliga－ tions of Title IX．

4．The purchase of athletic goods and equipment．This was allowed for Public Schools by the Attorney General＇s opinion of October 31， 1973.

# Ei:..2N WASHINGTOS: STATE COLLEGE 

$\therefore$ IHLETIC POLICY STATEMENT
July 1974

Eastern Washington State College, as a member of the Evergreen Conference and the National Association of intercollegiate Athletics (inita) which govern the varsity athletic ? $=0$ rracs for men, and the ミine League, the Northwest College Women's Sports isso:iation (: ©C:tS.!) and the issociation of Intercollegiate
 subscribes to the basic philosophies of these bodies. Its athletic programs are operated in compliance wita the constitutions and by-laws or other regulatory documents of thesa groups.

A goal of athletics at EWSC is to provide educational experiences for its students througn participation in intercollegiate athletics. Eastern Washington State College strives to field teams that can compete favorably in all sports it sponsors, and to provide represencative facilities for its student athletes and patrons.

The intercollegiate athletic program is administered by the Departments of HPERA winch are responsiole for staffing, coordinating, and planning related to facilities and operation. Xormai acministrative procedures will be under the coordination of the Dean of Academic Affairs. General policy and program developnent will be under the guidance of the College Athletic Council. The College ithletic Council will report reguiarly on its actions to the Academic Senate and the ASB Counct!, which bodies may communicate recommendations to the Athletic Counctl for its consideration. The dcademic Senate and the ASB Counctl may review the athletic program for its accord with all-college policy and transmit its findings to the Athletic Council and the President.

The Director of Athletics manages and coordinates tice activities of the intercollegiate athletic program and is responsible for school representation to NCWSA and the Evergreen Conference. The chairman of the College Athletic Council, who is appointed by the President, is the faculty athletic representative to tre Conference.

The College athletic Council is responsible to the President of the College, who will assign its responslutities. It will establish college athletic policy, wian shail be in accord whth coilege educational policies. It shail not determre specific coasnirg or teaching frocedures. The Council shall make re:omnendarions on questions to se votec upon in the Evergreen Conference: such Conference =atters =squiring an official College position shall require encoorsement by the ?resident. The Council shall review and make recommenations on standards for eijazil:ty and financtal aid; the number of scheduled g-tes or zontests in each spor: in a season; scheauling of nonconference nationd or taterational competicion of team or :nさ.jiduals, and post-season competition other than reguiar conierenie, inisi, itan or i.tia playoffs or tournaments. Tae Council will revien ans approve, aiter, or reject the athletic
budget proposals. The Council will act on recomendations for athletic awards, and adjudicate athietic award questions. The Council will hear individuai appeals which nave fully exhausted department procedures, and shall make a specific recommendation to the Dean of Academic affairs for his action.

## Student Athletes' Rights and Responsibilities

As stated above, the athletic programs of Eastern Washington State College are operated in compliance with the constitutions of the associations in wich membership is held. Any written or oral rules of the departments will avoid unnecessary intrusion into the private lives of the atiletes.

Participation in sen's and women's intercollegiate sports is open to all eligible E.W.S.C. students without regard to race, reifion, color or creed. Athletics at E.W.S.C. will provide for equality of opportunity for full participation by students of both sexes. Students who engage in intercollegiate athletics must recognize the responsibilities they accept upon joining the team. The athletes must work to the best of their ability to promote the success of the team while conducting themselves in a manner tnat will not discredit their team or Eastern Washington State Coilege. This may involve personal sacrifice not necessarily required of non-athietes and requires that they respect the rights of all other individuals involved in the program.

The Departments of HPERA and/or student athletes may adopt a "Code of Conduct" for the entire athletic program should this be deemed necessary for the successful operation of intercoilegiate athletics. If such a code is devised, it must be consistent with the athletic policy statements contained herein and is subject to review and approval, alteration, or refection by the Athletic Council.

Discipline
An athlete may be disciplined for violation of reasonable rules, written or unwritten, which in the fudgent of the coach are required for the success of the team. Sych discipiine may consist of:
(a) probation
(b) suspension for one or more games
(c) dismissal from the squad

The team coach is to assess appropriate disciplinary action, in accord with the procedures approved by the Atnletic Council. If the action leading to such discipline is one waich could have been carried on by a non-athlete with impunity then there must be clear evidence that the discipiingiatalete has been fuliy informed and was well aware of the possible consequences of his action.

## Appeals

Any disciplinary action by a head coach is subject to the following appeal procedures:

Within five dys -f the disctolnasy action by the coach, the athlete must file r-iteun no:ices of appes $\because 2$ :h the Director of athletics and with tho CHa!rwan of tre ithietin Council. The Director of Athietics will initiate the Eoliowing three-step procedure:

1. Appeal for recc::shieration to the coach involved. If the student jesires further appeal, the Athletic Director initiates the appeal to Step 2.
2. Appeal to a represertative student athlete committee composed of athieses ammed by the Athletic Council from a list recomenced by the coaches and student athletes of the team or squad. The comittee will consider and reader a judgment on all grievances that a student athlete in that sport presents to it for study and/or action.
3. Any student athle ee who is not satisfied with the decision made by the coach and/or the student athlete committee within his sport may ask for a review by the atiletic Director and has a right to appeal the Athletic Director's decision to the Athletic Council.

Each individual step of this procedure is to be completed as expeditiously as possible and in no case to exceed taree class days. It is the responsibility of the Directur of athletics to route the appeal through s:e three steps within the stated tims limit. T:e proceedings of each step in the appeal process shall be recorded in writing and all records shall be forwarded to the succeeding step in the appeals process.

Should the athlete wish to appeal the decision of the Athletic Director he must send written notice of such appeal to the Chairman of the Athletic Council within five days. The Chaiman of the Athletic Council will notify the Director of Athletics and the =oacr involved of sucn appeai. again the appeal process must be conpleted as soon is possible and not to exceed three class days. Such process will consist of a :iearing before the Athletic Council, including ex officio members. Both the athlete and the coach will be called to testify, as well as witresses requested $3 y$ the at:ilete, the coach, and the Athietic Council itse $\vdots$. Following cue teiljeritions by the cuandi, the regular members (exciuding all ex officio me-ber; snali renjer a decision by secret cailot. A tiotal of se en affirmative or negative rotes is necessary for a decision. If a decision is not reached on the first ailiot, then discussion and balloting will proceed uritil a decision is reached.

A sperific recomendation joill be sumuricated to the Dean of Academic Affars ior inplementation, any Eurther appesi is to the President of the College.

The complete and Einal athletic budget proposals must be submitted to the Athletic Council members for review and approval at least two wepiks prior to submission to the Student Services and dcrioities Fee Comittee which is their funding source.

## Financial Aid to Athletes

Financial aid to athletes is administered in accord with the principles and procedures outlined in conference by-laws.

Funds for athletic grants-in-aid may be raised through public solicitation under procedures approved by the College Athletic Council. However, such funds can be used only to give grants-in-aid to those students wino have verifiable aeed as computed by the College Scholarship Service and shall be handled through the Financial Aids Office. Grants-in-aid for a given student shall never exceed the cost of tuition and fees for that student. The Athletic Director designates those athletes who receive grants-in-aid based on a distribution formula for the various sports and in consultation with the coaches. Policies for athletic grants and aid to women students shall be in accordance with the policy of NCWSA.

## Eligibility

All students who meet the standards of eligibility set down by the associations in which Eastern Washington State College holds membership are eligible and encouraged to participate in intercollegiate athletics.

## Athletic Awards

Criteria for the granting of athletic awards are established by the appropriate program director, subject to review and approval of the College Athletic Council.

The Council will make decisions on awards that are exceptions to the established criteria but are recommended by the appropriate program director.

A student may appeal an award decision through the established appeals procedure.

## Conference Matters

The Council makes recommendations on questions dealing with major changes in the constitutions and by-laws of the associations in which membership is held. Proposed changes in these constiturions and by-laws initiated at Eastern Washington State College must also be approved by the Council prior to being officially taken to the appropriate association. Such matters as require an official college position shall require the endorsement of the President.

Evergreen C゙onference game proteits are iandled under the procedures as
 addition, protests initiatud oy Eastera nisainaton State Colle:je are to be approved
 Voting on protests filed by other conference scinools will be done by the drhletic Director and the Faculty Athletic representative as the institution's conference representatives.

## Scheduling

It is the goal of the College to schedule athletic events with teams representing instit:cions which have similar policies a،d standards regarding academics, athletics and constitutional rights.

The scheduling policy for E.W.S.C. is as follows:

All intercollegiate contests scheduled on a conference basis are originally scheduled by the conference athletic directors or women's sports adviser at conference meetings.

All intercollegiate contests with non-conference opponents are tentatively scheduled by the Athletic Director or women's sports adviser and then reviewed and approved by the College Athletic Council.

Scheduling of non-conference national or international competition of teams or individuals and post-seasai، play-offs, other than NAIA or AIAW sanctioned playoffs or meets are submitted to the Council for approval prior to scheduling.

The final decision on all original scheduling and subsequent cancellation of intercollegiate contests rests with the President of the College acting on recomendation of the College Athletic Council.

## PHILOSOPHICAL STATEMENT ABOUT INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS

At the outset it should be indicated that the three State Colleges are in a different category from the University of Washington and Washington State University in the area of intercollegiate athletics.

Western Washington State College considers men's and women's intercollegiate athletics as a part of the total educational program of the institution. Programmatically athletics are classified as departmentally related activities of the Physical Education Department and an augmentation of the instructional component. All of the coaches carry academic teaching responsibilities in additicn to obligations in athletics. The athletic program is an important aspect of the training program for coaches and Physical Education teachers in the State.

The cost for coaching the various sports should be supported by the general fund budget with a proration between credit generating instruction (formula) and athletic instruction (non-formula).

Physical olant costs are included as a part of the total institutional formula for space and maintenance costs of academic areas because of the predominant use of these facilities for instructional purposes. It should be noted that the College several years ago decided to support a City of Bellingham plan to build a stadium for football and track activities rather than to construct a major facility on campus. Presently these facilities are leased by the College at a nominal cost from services and activities fees. The State was saved a considerable amount of capital construction money by this action.

Operational costs of intercollegiate athletics should be borne by student services and activities fees and gate receipts which are minimal at the State Colleges.

# TheEvergreenStateCollege 

January 2, 1975

Mr. Norm Fischer<br>Counc: 1 on Higher Education<br>908 East 5th<br>Olympia, Washington 98504

Dear Mr. Fischer:
Pete Steilberg has relayed your desire for institutional positions on intercollegiate athletics and state funding thereof. I appreciate the opportunity to voice that of The Evergreen State College.

Evergreen's activities reflect its philosophy towards sports:
Evergreen has no intercollegiate athletic program and has no plans to begin one. We base our athletic program on participation; we do not orient it to the spectator. Evergreen has no physical education department, no physical education teachers, no coaches.

Our facilities are used for individual and group recreation, club sports, academic instruction as part of certain coordinated studies programs.

State funds support administrative costs of the program; other recreational program costs are borne by $\mathrm{S} \& \mathrm{~A}$ fees.

Evergreen's position maintains that recreational, participatory sports, and other club and intramural physical activities have direct educational and developmental values, whereas intercollegiate athletics, those almost entirely directed towards spectators, do not. We therefore support strongly the use of state funds for supporting participatory sports and physical activities, through the extension of the 03 formula, and in cases where there is a direct and appropriate academic connection, through the 06 formula. We strongly oppose the use of state funds to support intercollegiate athletics whose prime end has come to be entertainment.


## APPENDIX C

University of Washington
Program 040 - Plant
Operation and Maintenance
Formula Detail
TABLE I-C
THE RELATIONSHIP OF INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS
AND THE FORMULA 040 ELEMENTS AND THE FORMULA 040 ELEMENTS
1973-74 AND 1975-77 BIENNIUM Physical Education, Intramurals, Club Sports, Etc. 1975-77
Requested formula Increase

| 1975-77 |
| :--- |
| Formula |
| Iotal |

\$ 239,966
$\begin{array}{r}\text { 1973-75 } \\ \text { Formula } \\ \hline \$ 69,390\end{array}$
75,239

112,796 か | 0 | $\sim$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\overrightarrow{7}$ |
| $\sim$ | 0 |
|  | 0 | 21,240

$\$ 221,652$



| 1973-75 <br> Formula | 1975-77 <br> Formula | 1975-77 <br> Requested Formula Increase | 1975-77 <br> Formula Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 69,390 | \$ 87.22 .4 | $\$ 0$ | \$ 87,224 |
| 117,403 | 128,930 | 75,239 | 204.169 |
| 49,772 | 54,659 | 112,796 | 167,455 |
| 8,042 | 8,832 | 9,398 | 18,230 |
| 7.750 | 8,510 | 2,979 | 11,489 |
| 182,967 | 200,931 | 200,412 | 401,343 |
| 0 | 0 | 21,240 | 21,240 |
| \$252,357 | \$288,155 | \$221,652 | \$509,807 |
| $\frac{N / A}{\$ 252,357}{ }^{4}$ | $\frac{N / A}{\$ 288,155}$ | $\frac{-44,669}{5176,983}$ | $\frac{-44,669}{5465,138}$ |

[^0]
1975-77
$\$ 239,966$
328,921
217,552 โยs"22 21.708 590.712 0
$\$ 830,678$ $N / A$
$\$ 830,678$


| 1973-75 |
| :--- |
| Formula |

$\$ 190,903$
299,915 198, 102 20,516 19,768 537,901 0 $\$ 728,804$ $N / A$
5728,804

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Description } \\
& \text { Fixed Formula } \\
& \text { Variable Formula } \\
& \text { Building } \\
& \text { Janitorial } \\
& \text { Grounds } \\
& \text { Utilities } \\
& \text { Total Variable } \\
& \text { Non-Comparable } \\
& \text { Total Program 040 } \\
& \text { Impact of Proposed } \\
& \text { Recommendation }
\end{aligned}
$$ $\$ 462,880^{1}$ ${ }^{-839,258} 3{ }^{2}$ 61,776

245,260 28.198 6.406 441,640 21,240 -21.240 N3

$\frac{\$ 21,240}{\$ 21,240}$


3JN甘NJINIVW
S3IIITIIn

～芯 ：：
 $\sim$


웅 옹 $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{N}$ | --- |
| :---: |
| －－－ |
| 10,409 | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$

俞： $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { ® }}$ | $\circ$ |
| :--- |
| 8 | $: 1$ $\$ 245,260$胹 $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ $\$ 161,776$

${ }^{-89,258}{ }^{2} 72,518$ BIENNIUM IMPACT ON THE FORMULA ELEMENTS
FOR THOSE FACILITIES AND ACREAGES
NOT NOW UNDER THE OAO FORMLA
AT THE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
$\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\infty}} \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\infty}}$


TABLE II－C

DESCRIPTION
HUSKY STADIUM
HUSKY STADIUN PLAYING SURFACE \＆TRACK
HUSKY STADIUM CONNCESSION AREAS
ECMUNDSON PAVILION
EDMUNOSON PAVILION ANNEX
PRACTICE FIELDS
NON－COMPARABLE OR NON－FORMULA
TOTAL
IMPACT OF PROPOSED
RECOMMENDATION
${ }^{1}$ See＂Note＂Number 1 on Table I－C． ${ }^{2}$ See＂Note＂Number 2 on Table I－C． ${ }^{3}$ See＂Note＂Number 3 on Table I－C．

## TAELE III-C

EXPENDITURE AND BUDGET PATTERNS
FOR THE PLANT OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PORTION OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF SPORTS PROGRAMS

## Description

Expenses Applicable To The 040 Plant
Operation and Maintenance Formula*
Janitorial Salaries, Wages \& Benefits
Stadium and Fields Maintenance
Security
Janitorial and Paint Supplies
Equipment
Miscellaneous
Insurance
Sub-Total


| Description | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Budgeted } \\ & \text { Expendi tures } \\ & 1974-75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Biennium } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & 1973-75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Description | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Budgeted } \\ & \text { Expendi tures } \\ & 1974-75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Biennium } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & 1973-75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Biennium Total 1973-75

## APPENDIX D

Washington State University Program 040 - Plant Operation and Maintenance<br>Formula Detail

| Intercolleglate Athletics |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $1973-75$ | $1975-77$ |
| Formula | Formula |
| $\$ 81,003$ | $\$ 101,064$ |
|  |  |
| 106,483 | 117,550 |
| 77,618 | 77,618 |
| 29,650 | 30,797 |
| $\$ 213,751$ | $\$ 225,965$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 294,754$ | $\$ 327,029$ |

TABLE I-D
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
THE RELATIOASHIP OF INTERCOLLEGIAE ATMLETICS
AND THE FORMULA OAO ELEMENTS
1973-75 AND $1975-77$ B!ENNIUM
All Activities -- Intercollegiate Athletics
Physical Education, Intramurals, Club Sports, Etc. 1975-77
4.9,
400,617 305,229 $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$
$\infty$
$\infty$
$\infty$ 5754,730
\$1,104,339
$1973-75$
Formula
$\$ 280,202$
362,910
305,229
47,063
$\$ 715,202$
5995,404
Description
Fixed Formula
Varidble Formula
Building
Janitorial
Grounds
Total Variable
Total Program 040

## APPENDIX E

University of Washington<br>Washington State University<br>Revenue and Expenditure Detail

Statement of Income and Expense

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { TABIF I-F } \\
\text { OEPARTMENT OF INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS }
\end{gathered}
$$



TARIF I-F
RTMENT OF INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
Statement of Income and Expense
TABLE II－E
ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS

 ローツのずすが 어N心NNN No



 $\$(31,696.03)$

 | 8 |
| :--- |
| 8 |
| 8 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |

 $\rightarrow$

## （20．2．


$\qquad$

1974－75 Budget Proposal
Department of Intercollegiate Athletics

SOURCE：Financial Statements
 ＊＊Estimate

UHIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
 BUOGETED FOR FISCAL YEAR 1974-75

## TABLE III-E

Administration/ Tean

${ }^{1}$ Includes supplies (game films, athletic equipment, awards, etc.); contractual services; and miscellaneous. *Miscellaneous, graduate assistant coaches, permanently injured, managers, post eligible, etc.

table IV-E
WASHIPGTON STATE UNIVERSITY COST COMPONEATS BY SPORT AND OTHER BUDGETED FOR FISCAL YEAR 1974-75






 1
I Includes supplies (game films, athletic equipment, awards, etc.); contractual services; and miscellaneous. * Includes recovery payment for lost equipment

 General Reserve.

## APPENDIX F

State College<br>Operating Expenditure Detail<br>Actual 1973-74

Fliai:cili. RI.port
LGItR(ond.l.GIMT: AlBMETCS

FISC: Y. $\because . .1 R 1973$ - 1974
A. SIMMARY OF EXPENDITURES

|  | $\qquad$ <br> RECl SUPPORT (a) | Contributed SUPPCRT <br> (b) | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { (c) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (i): Siliaries \& Wages | $\because 1,641.81$ | \$44,773.21 | \$ $40,415.02$ |
| 0: ( contractual Persomal Survices | $4,024.48$ |  | 4,024.48 |
| 03: riods \& Scruices | 39,141.78 | 426.90 | 39,568.68 |
| 04: [ravel | 30,283.42 |  | 30,283.42 |
| Ot: Equipment | 236.25 |  | 236.25 |
| 07: Relirment \& Bencfits | 157.69 | 5,385.85 | 5,543.54 |
| 08: Finlancid! Assistance | - | 6,8¢8.00 | 6,848.00 |
| LOTALS | \$75.485.:3 | \$57,433.96 | \$132,919.39 |

1. 11rect Suppori: Recorded actual costs as borne by the Associated Students Activities Alheltar program. Theie costs are financed primarilv from student fees.
2. ©゙ontrinted Suport: Actual and estimated costs for such items as athletic coaches and tradiers saliaries, physical Plant expense, stadium maintenance and athletic grints in aid which are direatly attributatle to the Atheltic program but funded from anuther College budget.

## CENTRAL WASHIHGTON STATE COLLEGE



| ror： |  | （：ONTRIBL＇2l．） SUPPORT $\qquad$ （b） | TOTA！． (c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \＄ $5,9 \pm 9.89$ | \＄ $3,761.99$ | \＄10，711．88 |
| bia，he Lb，111 | 12．$\because . .90$ | 10，725．35 | 23，008．25 |
| Cross Country | 1．583．91 | $2,173.58$ | 3，757．49 |
| Football | 14．321．95 | 12，667．08 | $26,989.03$ |
| Goll | 1，450．31 | 1，569．58 | 3，019．89 |
| Cwiminios | $2,590.50$ | 3，756．44 | 6，346．94 |
| lentis | 1，592． 38 | 1，672．01 | 3，264． 39 |
| Irack | －， 797.85 | 5，933．14 | 10，730．99 |
| Wrestling | 1，362．89 | 6，012．38 | 9，375．27 |
| ＊Conteral Alilletics | 28.55 .85 | 7，162．4？ | 35，715．26 |
|  | \＄75．． 5 \％．4 4 | \＄57，433．96 | \＄132，919．39 |


 ＂ntributed Support，＂mal uralluated athletic administration of ASC and at．aleth season tiatet：in＂bise．t support．＂
$\therefore$ RIURSMORI

らいと！
！1sact！11！

Foい（ ${ }^{(1) 11}$

Arritl｜ns
（in Mr．t．Atioletic．
$1.11 .11 . i$

|  | Scholarship <br> Mund | Tot．ils |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 4,278.46$ | $\$ 3,508.60$ |  |$\quad \$ 7,767.06$

3． 50
$2,316.50$
2，320．00
250.50
250.50
$13,958 . \ldots$
$>18,120.78$
$=$


13，858．62

Revenue．：（iate and othor receints directly attributable to athletic events．

```
                                    !::O!:`:..: : :0! %
```



```
EASTER: \(\because: 3!i: \because: \therefore\) TAEE COLLEG! FISCAL Y:BP 1373-74
```

I . SCMM::? or ERRENDTTURES

|  | DIRECT sujpoet | $\begin{gathered} \text { CONT:RIBUTED } \\ \text { SUPPORT } \end{gathered}$ | OOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) |  |
| O) Salaries and fiages | \$ 5,106 | \$53,172 | \$ 58,338 |
| 02 Contract Personal Services | 7,530 |  | 7,530 |
| 03 Goods and Supplies | 34,178 |  | 34,178 |
| 04 Travel | 35,626 |  | 35,626 |
| c6 Equipment | 1,255 |  | 1,255 |
| 07 Setirnment Berefits | 369 | 9,040 | 9,409 |
| $\because 9$ Financial Assistance to A*: Letes |  | 13,320 | 13,320 |
| TOTAL | \$84, 1? 4 | S75,532 | \$159,656 |

 3tule: An ivities Athletics :rofram. These zosts are Einanced primarily from st': lent Enes.




 resor:.

## EASTERN WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE

II E：PE：OITURE ASD RETE：＇JE BY SFORM

1．EXPENDITURE EY SFORT

| SPORT | DIREM <br> SUF：CST | CJunsizumed surpors | OTML |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | （i） | （3） |  |
| Baseball | \＄6，332 | 今 5，199 ． | \＄11，581 |
| Basketball | 8，200 | 17，491． | 25，531 |
| rootball | －18，401 | 27，507 | 45，906 |
| Golf | 1，643 | 2，815 | 4，463 |
| Gymnastics | 2，752 | 1，856 | 4，619 |
| Swiming | ？，551 | 1，983 | 3，534 |
| Tenris | 715 | 3，057 | 3，772 |
| mack | 12，4！11 | 10，342 | 23，293 |
| Yresti | 4，054 | 4，782 | ？，3：5 |
| Training | 3，030 |  | 3，03） |
| Homen＇s Collegiate Sports | 6， 754 |  | 8，754 |
| Sen $\because=\sim$ Astine： | 22,932 |  | 12，9：2 |
| General Ati：Metiニs Aimissicns Ex－anse | 743 |  | 7：9 |
| Athlotic Equipmont Eenlaunont | 2,505 |  | 2，5．5 |
| TOTALS | \％ここ4，124 | 575，532 | $\because: 9.5 r$ |

$\because$ Wis total includes the 5010 ing：

| Sen＇s Athretics | $\$ 75,370$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| Nomen＇s Athletics | $\underline{3,754}$ |
| TOTAL | $\underline{\$ 94,!24}$ |

Pevenues：Inclucies gate，corcescinns and cthar rece：ros dire：：Iy attributable to $A$ mletic events．

PEVE：ツIS $\mathrm{B}:$ ：
SPOP：
Footrall
ミ 2，435． 10
Sasketoall
$2,02: 4,2 ?$
Zomen＇s Collegiate Sports
$4, \sin -17$
Mresting，
52．5n
Fam： $1:$ Pass：s
$315.2)$

97：
$\therefore 10,266.28$

FINASCiAI. REPORT:
MMEACOLiEGTAIE ATMLltICS WhSTERX Washicoto state coliege FISCAL YEAR 1973-1974
A. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES

| OBJECT OF EXPENDI:GE |  | DIRECT <br> SUPPORT | CONTRIBUTED SUPPORT | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (a) | (b) | (c) |
| 01: | Salaries \& Wages | 12,192 | 20,119 | 32,311 |
| 02: | Contract Personai Service | 8,673 |  | 8,673 |
| 03: | Supplies \& Materials | 35,260 |  | 35,260 |
| 04: | Travel Guarantee Adjustment | 31,589 |  | 31,589 |
| 06: Equipment |  |  |  |  |
| 07: | Retirement \& Benefits | 55 | 2,692 | 2,747 |
| 08: Financial Assistance toAthletes $\quad 2,099 \quad 2,999$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | total | 587,769 | \$24,910 | \$112,670 |

## DEFENITIO:S:

1. Direc Support: This category will reflect actual costs as borne by the Associated Studeris Aciviries Attietics program. These costs are financed primarily from stident fees.
2. Curtibelted Supnort: This will sometimes be reported on an estimated basis and wi. 1 include such iters as athletic coaches salaries, Physica: Plant expense, stadiun maintenance and atilletic grants in aid which are directly attributabie to the Athintic program bur funded from another College budget. These estimates will be provided by the Athletic Director, and specific supporting information will be attached to the financial report, identifying the items included and the method used for cost estimation.

## 1. Expenilegrs By Sponi

| ¢POR: | DIREC <br> SUPPORT | CONTR IBUTLD SUPYORT | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( 3 ) | (b) | (c) |
| 3aseball | 4,968 | 1,410 | 6,378 |
| Saskerball | 10,594 | 3,007 | 13,601 |
| Crew | 3,616 | 1,026 | 4,642 |
| Foctball | 18,326 | 5,201 | 23,527 |
| Goif | 1,790 | 508 | 2,298 |
| Swinming | 1,659 | 471 | 2,130 |
| Tennis | 2,194 | 623 | 2,817 |
| Track \& Cross Country | 6,056 | 1,719 | 7,775 |
| Wrestling | 3,792 | 1,076 | 4,868 |
| Gereral Athletics | $24,7{ }^{\prime \prime}=$ | 9,869 | 44,643 |
| *TOTAL | 587,769 | \$24,910 | \$112,679 |

## 2. REVENTES SY SPORT

SBCRT
Basketball
Footbail
total.

## ATH:ETIC PROCSAY RECF.IPTS

$$
\$ 2,265
$$

$$
\underline{2,138}
$$

$$
54,403
$$

Revenics: Includes gate and other receipts directly attributable to Athletic everts.
*This tutal includes the following:

| Mens Athletics | $\$ 71,593$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Womens Athlettes | 12,209 |
| Intramural Athleitcs | $\mathbf{3 , 9 6 7}$ |
| Total | $\$ 87,769$ |

## APPENDIX G

Comparisons
Pacific Eight Conference Schools
TABLE I-G
COMPARISON OF SELECTED PROGRAM ELEMENTS
PACIFIC EIGHT CONFERENCE MIEMBERS
1973-74 FISCAL YEAR
Teain Travel
Expense

min
uoztu!ysem to kz!suan!un
,

| Total Operating |
| :---: |
| Expenses |
| $\$ 2,763,802$ |
| $1,699,307$ |
| $2,122,000$ |
| $1,700,000$ |
| $2,105,212$ |
| $2,939,224$ |
| $2,819,258$ |
| $1,800,000$ |

8

## TABL.E I-G


 $L$
1 survey conducted by Joe Kearny, Director
TABLE II-G
COMPARISON OF REVENUE AMOUNTS AND SOURCES PACIFIC EIGHT CONFERENCE MEMBERS
1973-74 FISCAL YEAR Fees... Contributions 웅응응ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ


 $\begin{array}{lr}1,739,500 & 705,000 \\ 1,900,000 & 52,000\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Miscellaneous } \\ \text { Revenue }\end{array}$ |
| :---: |
| $\$ 114,000$ |

 $+\infty$
The $\$ 126,179^{\circ}$ dollar figure for the University of washington and the $\$ 220,272$ are "updated" figures taken from the Council on Higher Education
"Financing of Intercollegiate Athletic Study". All other data are from the survey conducted by Joe Kearny. (This data also appeared in the "Financing of intercollegiate Athletic Study". All other data are from the survey conducted by Joe Kearny. (This data also appeared in the
February 5, 1975 issue of the University of Washington Daily.)
**Facilities Use charge against the Services and Activities Budget for the use of the facilities maintained by the Sports Program Department.
** Includes $\$ 100,000$ from an endowed scholarship fund, $\$ 535,000$ from golf course and driving range, and $\$ 100,000$ from gym store.

APPENDIX H

Varsity Sport<br>Credit Hour Data

TABLE I-H
TOTAL AND AVERAGE ANNUAL STUDENT CREDIT HOURS GENERATED IN VARSITY SPORTS FOR 1973-74

| Sports | Institutions* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WSU | CWSC** | EWSC** | WWSC |
| Baseball | 44 | ** | ** | 25 |
| Basketball | 41 | ** | ** | 48 |
| Cross Country | 18 | ** | ** | 15 |
| Field Hockey | 22 | ** | ** | 2 |
| Football | 100 | ** | ** | 54 |
| Golf | 12 | ** | ** | 54 |
| Gymnastics | 27 | ** | ** | -- |
| Skiing | 24 | ** | ** | -- |
| Swimming | 23 | ** | ** | 11 |
| Tennis | 13 | ** | ** | -- |
| Track | 49 | ** | ** | 44 |
| Volleyball | 26 | ** | ** | 18 |
| Water Polo | 1 | ** | ** |  |
| Wrestling | 24 | ** | ** | -- |
| Crew | -- | ** | ** | 27 |
| Badminton | -- | ** | ** | 10 |
| Total | 424 | 673 | 317 | 254 |
| Average Annual | 141.33 | 224.33 | 105.67 | 84.67 |

*The University of Washington plans to discontinue the granting of credit for this activity after July 1, 1975 and consequently was not included in this table.
**Totals only -- individual sport detail not provided.

## APPENDIX I

University of Washington
Ticket Prices
News Articie

## Hushy Ticirefs Soar To \$8

TACOMA, Wash (AP) - The price of Unversity of Washington reserved seat football tickets were increased from $\$ 7$ to $\$ 8$ per game Friday by the school's board of regents
I he board also increased the price of general admussion tuckets 50 cents to $\$ 4$

The approved increased is expected to generate an additional income of $\$ 150.000$ from the six Husky home games next season. The money is supposed to help meet a financial crisis that threatens the UW's minor sports program.

The school has already sald new scholarships for all sports except football, basketball and posstbly track will be eliminated beginning next year because of the financial squeeze on the L'W Departinent of Sports Programs.

The regents also learned that the administration will study the possibility of reassigning unused student seats for general admission sales.

The assistant vice president for university relations, Stanton Schmid, sand there now are 10,000 seats set aside for students but they were used at an average rate of only 3. 700 a game last season

He said the highest rate of use was 9,000 per game in 1969

Several regents expressed concern over the announcement that new scholarships for minor sports were being elimı. nated because of a budget pinch

But President John Hognt-ss sad there had been concern that the university could not duthorize grants in aid because there were no funds

But Hogness satd elimination of minor sports scholarships is not in the LW's long-range plan.

Source: The Daily Olympian. Sunday, March 2, 1975.

## APPENDIX J

## Pacific Eight Conference Profile

## PACIFIC EIGHT CONFERENCE

The "Pac-8" is probably as well known as any atnletic conference in the United States. The conference consists of eight universities. They are: University of Southern California (Private); University of California at Los Angeles (Public); University of California at Berkeley (Public); Stanford University (Private); University of Oregon (Public); Oregon State University (Public); University of Washington (Public); and Washington State University (Public).

The conference requires intercollegiate athletic competition in at least four sports. The schools are all Division I members of the NCAA. (Division I schools have highly visable intercollegiate athletic programs which generate considerable revenues from gate receipts, gate guarantees and private contributions. Because of their size, the departmental functions for their respective athletic departments are usually autonomous entities.)

In the cost savings area, the conference members have initiated several economy measures. At its national convention in January, 1975, the NCAA rejected the Pacific Eight Conference proposal to reduce the number of grants-in-aid in football from 130 to 90 nationwide; therefore, the conference has decided unilaterally to reduce the number to 90 within the conference by 1978. (The national limit in football has been placed at 105.) In addition, the conference has established a Southern Division (the four California schools) and a Northern Division (the two Oregon schools and the two Washington schools; to cut down on travel costs in those sports other than basketball and football.

## APPENDIX K

## Evergreen Conference Profile

The conference is made up of seven schools. They are: Central Washington State College; Eastern Oregon College; Eastern Washington State College; Oregon College of Education; Oregon Technical Institute; Southern Oregon Colleọe: and Western Washington State College.

The schools are all members of the National Association for Intercollegiate Athletics (NAIA). NAIA institutions have small to moderate enrollments and concentrate on low profile intercollegiate athletic programs.

Section $A$ of the NAIA by-laws provide the following policy guidelines:
The control of athletics shall be the responsibility of the school administration. No member institution shall participate in any athletic contest which is not under the direct control and supervision of the college administration.

The Athletic Department shall have a place in the institutional structure comparable to all other departments. Members of this department should have the same professional status and tenure as other faculty members. There should be an athletic board appointed by the President to act in an advisory capacity.

In the past, the Evergreen Conference has required participation in four sports, i.e., football, basketball, baseball and track. In recent action by conference officials, the respective Evergreen Conference schools now have the option to discontinue intercollegiate competition in no more than one of the above sports and not have to give up their conference membership. (To exercise the option to discontinue intercollegiate athletic competition in one of the above sports requires a year's notice, however.)

In the cost savings area, many of the rules and regulations for the conference were developed to effect cost economies. For instance, no
grants-in-aid based on athletic ability are allowed, schedules for the various sports are well coordinated to reduce travel costs, the length of the football season is limited, and no spring football practice is allowed. The number of people allowed to travel with the various athletic teams is limited, and restrictions have been placed on Christmas and Spring vacation play and practice. These are some of the major cost saving items. In addition, there are other cost measures already in effect or receiving consideration by the conference members.

NORTHWEST COLLEGE WOMEN'S
SPORTS ASSOCIATION
PROF ILE
-
$\bullet$

For the five four-year colleges and universities with intercollegiate athletic programs in the State of Washington, there is currently no organized conference structure. The organized governing association for the five four-year Washington schools is known as the Northwest College Women's Sports Association (NCWCA). Altogether between 60 and 70 schools involving Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Montana, and Alaska are members of the NCWCA. In addition, a few British Columbia and Northern California colleges are associate members. (The NCWCA currentiy schedules regional tournaments in eight sports.)

The five Washington schools are also members of the Association for Intercollegiate Athletics for Women (AIAW). This organization (AIAW) provides a governing body and the necessary leadership for maintaining standards in women's intercollegiate athletic programs at the national level. The AIAW currently sponsors national intercollegiate championships for women in badminton, basketball, golf, gymnastics, swimming, track and field and volleyball.

The rules and regulations of both the NCWCA and the AIAW were developed with cost economies in mind. Currently, none of the Washington schools offer grants-in-aid based on athletic ability to any of their women athletes. The AIAW rules and regulations were recently changed to allow grants-in-aid. (Initially grants-in-aid were not allowed by AIAW member schools.)


[^0]:    1 This figure $(\$ 462,880)$ corresponds with the refined calculations shown on Page 31.
    2 This figure ( $\$ \mathbf{- 8 9 , 2 5 8}$ ) represents the janitorial element dollar total for the 1975-77 biennium for Husky Stadium and the related concession areas (Page 32).

    3 This figure ( $\$ 373,622$ ) represents the dollar adjustment to the University of Washington 1975-77 operating budget as reconmended in this
    4 This figure represents the current (1973-75) contribution to intercollegiate athletics at the University of Washington (Page 6). 4 This figure represer

